Static spherically symmetric metrics and their cosmological interpretation

Camilo Santa Vélez[1] Antonio Enea Romano[1]
[1] *Instituto de Fisica, Universidad de Antioquia, A.A.1226, Medellin, Colombia*

We find the transformation between static coordinates and the Newton gauge for the Schwarzschild-De-Sitter (SDS) solution, confirming it coincides with the weak field limit of the McVittie solution. We then consider different generalized classes of static spherically symmetric (SSS) metrics and using the same method we transform them to the Newton gauge, which could be used to test these modifications of the SDS solution using physical observables which are more conveniently computed within the framework of cosmological perturbation theory. Using the gauge invariance of the Bardeen potentials we then obtain a gauge invariant definition of the turn around radius, checking it is consistent with the result obtained in static coordinates for the SDS metric and for other SSS metrics.

arXiv:1611.09223v1 [gr-qc] 24 Nov 2016

I. INTRODUCTION

In general relativity the most general spherically symmetric vacuum solution of the Einstein's field equations with a cosmological constant, the Schwarzschild-De-Sitter solution, can be written in static coordinates [1]. This is a consequence of the Einstein's equations but for a general modified theory of gravity such a coordinate system may not be possible, because the field equations may not imply anymore the existence of such a coordinate system. For a modified gravity theory in fact the most general spherically symmetric solution may not be expressed in static coordinates, but only a subclass of the general solutions. This is similar to the fact that the Birkhoff's theorem may not hold in modified gravity theories.

In order to gain more insight about the cosmological interpretation of the SDS solution we re-write it in the weak field limit in comoving coordinates, within the framework of cosmological perturbation theory. This allows to identify the Newtonian gravitational potential using the gauge invariant Bardeen potentials. We then apply the same method to find the corrections to the Newtonian gravitational potential for different classes of static spherically symmetric solutions which may arise in modified theories of gravity. The Newton gauge form of the metrics could be used to test these modifications of the SDS solution using physical observables which are more conveniently computed within the framework of cosmological perturbation theory. Taking advantage of the gauge invariance Bardeen potentials we also derive a gauge invariant definition of the turn round radius and verify it is consistent with results obtained in static coordinates.

II. STATIC COORDINATES

Assuming a general spherically symmetric metric ansatz of the type

$$ds^2 = F(t,R)dt^2 - H(t,R)dR^2 - R^2 d\Omega^2, \qquad (1)$$

one of the Einstein's equations gives $\partial_t f = 0$, implying the existence of the well known static coordinates solution

$$F(t,R) = H(t,R)^{-1} = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{R} - \frac{R^2}{l^2}\right), \qquad (2)$$

where have defined $l^2 = 3/\Lambda$. Substituting the same general ansatz in the field equation of a different gravity theory the equation $\partial_r f = 0$ may not hold anymore, and a general spherically symmetric solution may not be written in static coordinates anymore. The De-Sitter metric, i.e. the $m = 0$ limit of the SDS metric, can also be written in the so called isotropic coordinates, defined by $R = e^{t/l} r$ and $t = \tilde{t} - \frac{l}{2}\log(r^2 e^{2t/l} - l^2)$, giving

$$ds^2 = d\tilde{t}^2 - a^2(t)(dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2), \qquad (3)$$

where $a(t) = e^{t/l}$. These coordinates are called comoving coordinates in cosmology because they are interpreted as the coordinates of the observer comoving with the Hubble flow, and for this reason we will use this terminology in the rest of this paper. We will use a similar coordinate transformation from static to comoving coordinates to re-write the SDS metric far from the Schwarzschild radius, i.e. for $m \ll r$ in terms of cosmological perturbations respect to the FRW background.

III. INTERPRETING THE SDS METRIC AS COSMOLOGICAL PERTURBATIONS RESPECT TO THE FRW METRIC

For cosmological applications it is useful to re-write the SDS metric in comoving coordinates. The the most general scalar perturbations respect to the flat FRW background can be written as [2]

$$ds^2 = a^2\Big\{(1+2\psi)d\tau^2 - 2\partial_i\omega d\tau dx^i - \left[(1-2\phi)\delta_{ij} + D_{ij}\chi\right]dx^i dx^j\Big\}, \qquad (4)$$

where $D_{ij} = \partial_i\partial_j - \frac{1}{3}\delta_{ij}\nabla^2$. Assuming spherical symmetry the metric can be written as [3]

$$ds^2 = a^2\Big[(1+2\psi)d\tau^2 - \left(1-2\phi+\frac{2}{3}\mathcal{E}\right)dr^2 - 2\omega' d\tau dr - \left(1-2\phi-\frac{1}{3}\mathcal{E}\right)r^2 d\Omega^2\Big], \quad (5)$$

where

$$\mathcal{E} = \chi'' - \frac{\chi'}{r}, \quad (6)$$

and the prime $'$ denotes derivative respect to r. In terms of cosmological perturbation theory the metric ansatz in eq.(1) is equivalent to a gauge in which

$$\omega' = 0 \;;\; \phi = -\frac{1}{6}\mathcal{E}, \quad (7)$$

$$ds^2 = a^2\Big[(1+2\psi)d\tau^2 - (1+\mathcal{E})\,dr^2 - r^2 d\Omega^2\Big], \quad (8)$$

In this gauge the pertubations are related to the metric in eq.(1) by the following conditions

$$F(t,R) = a^2(1+2\psi), \quad (9)$$

$$H(t,R) = a^2(1+\mathcal{E}), \quad (10)$$

$$R = ar. \quad (11)$$

It should be noted that this guage is only possible under the assumption of spherical symmetry, and could for example be used to study the pertubative limits of other spherically symmetric solutions such as the Leimaitre solutions[4]. While it is always possible to move to this gauge, this is not necesarely equivalent to the static coordinates of the SDS metric, since in general the scale factor and the pertubations can be time dependent. This is a consequence of the fact than not any metric can be written in static coordinates, while a generic spherically symmetric can be written in the form of eq.(1).

Static coordinates are not commonly used in cosmology, where the comoving coordinate are normally preferred, but can be useful to solve different problems such as the estimation of the maximum size of gravitationally bounded structures. This is due to the fact that in static coordinates it is more convenient to define the turn around radius [5]. For this reason it can be interesting to understand what cosmological pertubation metrics can be written in static coordinates. In general it is difficult to establish if static coordinates exist for a given metric, so we will to approach the problem from the opposite direction and look for an aswer to this question : what form does it take in terms of cosmological pertubations theory a metric which can be written in static coordinates?

IV. SDS METRIC IN THE NEWTON GAUGE

After substituting $R = e^{t/l}r$ in the SDS metric in static coordinates, far from the cosmological and Schwarzschild horizon , i.e. for $m \ll r \ll l$, at leading order we get

$$ds^2 = \left(1-\frac{2m}{ar}\right)dt^2 - 4m\dot{a}dtdr - a^2\left(1+\frac{2m}{ar}\right)dr^2 - a^2r^2d\Omega^2\,, \quad (12)$$

where $\dot{a} = da/dt$. Introducing conformal time $d\tau = dt/a(t)$ we then get

$$ds^2 = a^2\left[\left(1-\frac{2m}{ar}\right)d\tau^2 - 4m\frac{a_\tau}{a^2}d\tau dr \quad (13)$$

$$- \left(1+\frac{2m}{ar}\right)dr^2 - r^2d\Omega^2\right], \quad (14)$$

and comparing with equation (5) we get

$$\psi = -\frac{m}{ar}, \quad \phi = -\frac{m}{3ar}, \quad (15)$$

$$\omega' = \frac{2ma_\tau}{a^2}, \quad \mathcal{E} = \frac{2m}{ar}. \quad (16)$$

After integrating eq.(6) and ω' we get

$$\omega = \frac{2ma_\tau}{a^2}r, \quad \chi = -\frac{2mr}{a} + \frac{1}{2}r^2C(\tau) + D(\tau)\,, \quad (17)$$

where C and D are functional constants of integration. Since we are only interested in perturbations which should vanish in a limit in which the mass vanishes, the physically interesting solutions correspond to $C = D = 0$. Using cosmological perturbation theory (CPT) we can derive explicitly the gauge transformation between the static coordinates and the Newton gauge.

Under an infinitesimal space-time translation of the form

$$\tilde{x}^0 = x^0 + \zeta, \quad (18)$$

$$\tilde{x}^i = x^i + \partial^i\beta, \quad (19)$$

the gauge transformations are

$$\tilde{\phi} = \phi - \frac{1}{3}\nabla^2\beta + \frac{a_\tau}{a}\zeta, \quad (20)$$

$$\tilde{\omega} = \omega + \zeta + \beta_\tau, \quad (21)$$

$$\tilde{\psi} = \psi - \zeta_\tau - \frac{a_\tau}{a}\zeta, \quad (22)$$

$$\tilde{\chi} = \chi + 2\beta. \quad (23)$$

Imposing the Newton gauge condition

$$\omega_N = \chi_N = 0, \quad (24)$$

after solving the differential equations (20) we get

$$\beta = \frac{mr}{a}, \quad (25)$$

$$\zeta = -\frac{mra_\tau}{a^2}. \quad (26)$$

We can then use the gauge transformations to calculate the perturbations in the Newton gauge up to leading order

$$\Psi_N = -\frac{m}{ar}, \tag{27}$$

$$\Phi_N = -\frac{m}{ar}. \tag{28}$$

We can also compute the Bardeen potentials [6] up to leading order:

$$\Psi_B = \psi - \frac{1}{a}\left[a\left(\frac{\chi_\tau}{2} - \omega\right)\right]_\tau = -\tfrac{m}{ar}, \tag{29}$$

$$\Phi_B = \phi + \frac{1}{6}\nabla^2\chi - \frac{a_\tau}{a}\left(\omega - \frac{\chi_\tau}{2}\right) = -\tfrac{m}{ar}\,. \tag{30}$$

As expected the the Bardeen potentials reduce to the Newton gauge potentials obtained in eq.(28) and eq.(27), and the metric takes the form

$$ds^2 = a^2\left[\left(1 - \frac{2m}{ar}\right)d\tau^2 - \left(1 + \frac{2m}{ar}\right)\left(dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2\right)\right]. \tag{31}$$

Let's check if the obtained metric is consistent with the expectations of the effects of a point-like source in an expanding Universe. The form of the metric in the Newtonian gauge in eq.(31) is what one would expect intuitively, since the gravitation potential is inversely proportional to the physical distance $R = ar$. We can also observe that the condition $\Phi_N = -\Psi_N$ is in agreement with the cosmological perturbations equations in general relativity. In fact the first order cosmological perturbations equations for any isotropic energy-momentum tensor imply $\Phi_N = -\Psi_N$, which is clearly also the case for a vacuum solution such as the SDS.

V. EXACT COORDINATE TRANSFORMATION EQUIVALENT OF THE NEWTON GAUGE

It is easy to check that the McVittie's metric [7]

$$ds^2 = \left(\frac{1 - \frac{m}{2ar}}{1 + \frac{m}{2ar}}\right)^2 d\tilde{t}^2 \tag{32}$$

$$-a^2\left(1 + \frac{m}{2ar}\right)^4\left[dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2\right], \tag{33}$$

in the weak field limit $m \ll r$ coincides with the metric obtained in eq.(31). This hints to the fact that the metric we obtained is the weak field limit of the McVittie metric, which is also the weak fiedl limit of the SDS metric in comoving coordinates. This implies that there should exist an exact coordinate transformation between the McVitties metric and the SDS metric in static cooridnates. It is in fact known that the McVittie's metric can be obtained from the SDS metric by the following coordinate transformation [8],[9]

$$t = \tilde{t} + \gamma(R), \tag{34}$$

$$R = e^{\tilde{t}/l} r + m + \frac{m^2}{4e^{\tilde{t}/l} r}, \tag{35}$$

where $\gamma(r)$ is defined by:

$$\frac{d\gamma}{dR} = -\frac{R^2}{l\sqrt{R-m}(1 - \frac{m}{R} - \frac{R^2}{l^2})}, \tag{36}$$

and $a(\tilde{t}) = \exp(\tilde{t}/l)$. We can conclude that the gauge transformation in eqs.(25),(26), which was obtained using cosmological perturbation theory, is the perturbative limit of the transformation given in eqs.(34)-(36).

For the SDS metric the exact coordinate transformation between static coordinates and the Newton gauge is known but for a general static spherically symmetric (SSS) metric it may be more difficult to find it, while the gauge transformation approach can be always adopted, and as we have verified in different ways, it gives the correct Newton gauge form of the SDS metric. We can now apply the power tool of cosmological perturbation theory to obtain the Newton gauge form of other generalized SSS metrics, for which exact coordinate transformation may be difficult to find. In any case in the weak field limit first order cosmological perturbation theory should be enough to obtain sufficiently precise results.

In this way we will be able to use the convenience of static coordinates to estimate quantities such as the turn around radius, and the Newton gauge form to compute other physical observables which are more conveniently computed using cosmological perturbation theory.

VI. GAUGE INVARIANT DEFINITION OF THE TURN AROUND RADIUS

The turn around radius is the critical distance from the center of a spherically symmetric structure where the radial acceleration vanishes [10, 11]. It can be used as an estimate of the maximum size of gravitationally stable structures and can be an important observational probe to test the effects of the modification of gravity [12, 13] or to constrain dark energy [14].

The calculation of the turn around radius is more convenient in static coordinates, since for a generic metric of the form in eq.(1) the radial geodesic equation is

$$\frac{d^2R}{ds^2} = \frac{1}{2}H(t,R)\dot{t}^2\frac{\partial F(t,R)}{\partial R} + \frac{\dot{R}^2}{2H(t,R)}\frac{\partial H(t,R)}{\partial R} + -\frac{\dot{R}\dot{t}}{H(t,R)}\frac{\partial H(t,R)}{\partial t}, \tag{37}$$

which for a static observer defined by $\dot{R} = 0$ reduces to

$$\frac{d^2R}{ds^2} = \frac{1}{2}H(t,R)\dot{t}^2\frac{\partial F(t,R)}{\partial R}\,, \tag{38}$$

where the dot $\dot{}$ denotes a derivative respect to the affine parameter s. The turn around radius corresponds to the solution of the equation $\partial_R F(R_{TA}) = 0$ which in the case of the SDS metric gives

$$R_{TA} = \sqrt[3]{ml^2}\,. \tag{39}$$

The calculation of the turn around radius in the Newton gauge [15] gives instead

$$\ddot{a}r - \frac{\Psi'_N}{a} = 0\,. \tag{40}$$

After substituting the Ψ_N we obtained in eq.(27) we obtain the comoving turn around radius:

$$r_{TA} = \sqrt[3]{\frac{m}{\ddot{a}a^2}}, \tag{41}$$

which in the SDS case, when $a = e^{t/l}$, gives

$$r_{TA} = e^{-t/l}\sqrt[3]{ml^2}. \tag{42}$$

From this equation we can immediately verify that the physical radius $R_{TA} = a\, r_{TA}$ is the same as the one obtained in static coordinates in eq.(38).

Since the turn around radius is an observable quantity it should be gauge-invariant and we can re-write eq.(40) in terms of the Bardeen potential, to get a gauge invariant condition:

$$\ddot{a}r - \frac{\Psi'_B}{a} = 0. \tag{43}$$

The advantage of this approach is that we can obtain the turn around radius from the metric of cosmological perturbations in any gauge. For example starting from the SDS metric written in a gauge different form the Newton gauge, such as in eq.(13) for example, we could construct the Bardeen potentials and solve eq.(43).

VII. GENERALIZED STATIC SPHERICALLY SYMMETRIC METRICS AND THEIR COSMOLOGICAL PERTURBATIONS FORM

We will consider possible modifications of the SDS metric of this form

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = &\left(1 - \frac{2m}{R} - mf(R) - \frac{R^2}{l^2}\right) dt^2 \\ &- \left(1 - \frac{2m}{R} - mh(R) - \frac{R^2}{l^2}\right)^{-1} dR^2 - R^2 d\Omega^2. \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

Note that we are not assuming anymore that $g_{tt} = g_{rr}^{-1}$ becasue in a modified theory of gravity (MGT) the field equations may not imply this relation under the assumption of spherical symmetry. In a generic MGT spherical symmetry may also not imply that, as in GR, $\partial_t g_{tt} = \partial_t g_{rr} = 0$, but here we will only consider static solutions. We will not assume any specific MGT, and adopt a purely phenomenological approach in order to obtain the Newtonian gauge form of these generalized SSS metrics. These can then be used to test them with cosmological observational data, and only after the metrics compatible with observations have been identified we could try to find which MGT they are solutions of.

After introducing in eq.(44) conformal time snd comoving coordinates, defined by $R = ar$, , up to leading order terms in the region $m \ll r \ll l$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = a^2 \Bigg[&\left(1 - \frac{2m}{ra} - mf - \frac{mr^2 f a_\tau^2}{a^2}\right) d\tau^2 \\ &- \left(\frac{4ma_\tau}{a^2} + \frac{2mrha_\tau}{a}\right) d\tau dr \\ &- \left(1 + \frac{2m}{ra} + mh\right) dr^2 - r^2 d\Omega^2 \Bigg]\,. \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

Comparing the metrics in eq.(45) and eq.(5) we can identify the perturbation variables in the case of spherical symmetry as

$$\psi = -\tfrac{2m}{ra} - mf - \tfrac{mr^2 f a_\tau^2}{a^2}, \quad \mathcal{E} = \tfrac{2m}{r} + mh, \tag{46}$$

$$\omega' = \tfrac{2ma_\tau}{a^2} + \tfrac{mrha_\tau}{a}, \quad \phi = -\tfrac{1}{6}\left(\tfrac{2m}{r} + mh\right). \tag{47}$$

Solving equation (6) we can finally find the perturbations in the general form

$$\omega = \frac{ma_\tau}{a}\int_1^r k_1 h(ak_1) dk_1, \tag{48}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \chi = &-\frac{2mr}{a} + \int_1^r k_2 dk_2 \int_1^{k_2} mh(ak_1)\frac{dk_1}{k_1} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} r^2 C + D, \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

where C and D are integration constants. Well behaved perturbations require $C = D = 0$. We can now calculate the Bardeen potentials

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_B = &-\frac{m}{ar} + \frac{ma_\tau^2}{2a}\int_1^r k_2 \int_1^{k_2} h'(ak_1)\, dk_1\, dk_2 \\ &+ \frac{m}{2}\int_1^r \frac{h(ak_1)}{k_1}\, dk_1 - \frac{ma_\tau^2}{a^2}\int_1^r k_1 h(k_1 a)\, dk_1, \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_B = &-\frac{m}{ar} - \frac{1}{2} mf(ra) + \frac{ma_\tau^2}{a}\int_1^r k_1^2 h'(k_1 a)\, dk_1 \\ &- \frac{ma_\tau^2}{2a}\int_1^r k_2 \int_1^{k_2} h'(ak_1)\, dk_1\, dk_2 \\ &- \frac{m}{2}\int_1^r k_2 \int_1^{k_2} \left[a_{\tau\tau} h'(ak_1) + k_1 a_\tau^2 h''(ak_1)\right] dk_1\, dk_2 \\ &+ \frac{ma_{\tau\tau}}{a}\int_1^r k_1 h(k_1 a)\, dk_1. \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

It is easy to check that for $f(R) = h(R) = 0$ the generalized potential in eqs.(50) and (51) reduce to the SDS Newtonian gauge perturbations obtained in eqs. (28) and (27).

VIII. POWER LAW MODIFICATIONS

Let's consider the class of SSS metrics corresponding to this choice of f, h

$$f(R) = \lambda_1 R^{n_1} \quad ; \quad h(R) = \lambda_2 R^{n_2}. \tag{52}$$

Following the same procedure shown in the previous section we first identify the perturbations in the spherically symmetric form given in eq.(5)

$$\phi = -\frac{m\left[\lambda_2 (ra)^{n_2+1}+2\right]}{6ra}, \tag{53}$$

$$\psi = -\frac{m\left[\lambda_1 a (ra)^{n_1+1}+2a\right]}{2ra^2}, \tag{54}$$

$$\omega = 0, \tag{55}$$

$$\chi = mr\left[\frac{\lambda_2 r (ra)^{n_2}}{n_2(n_2+2)} - \frac{2}{a}\right]. \tag{56}$$

We can then obtain the corresponding Newtonian potentials

$$\Psi_B = -m\left[\tfrac{1}{ar} + \tfrac{\lambda_1 (ra)^{n_1}}{2}\right], \tag{57}$$

$$\Phi_B = -m\left[\tfrac{1}{ar} + \tfrac{\lambda_2 (ra)^{n_2}}{2n_2}\right]. \tag{58}$$

The first term in each potential is the SDS Newtonian potential while the second is the one due to the modification of SDS metric in static coordinates. The difference between the Ψ and Φ is due to the difference between g_{tt} and g_{rr}^{-1} in static coordinates, and it could also arise in vacuum solutions of modified gravity theories.

IX. EXPONENTIAL MODIFICATIONS

In the case of exponential modifications of the type

$$f(R) = \lambda_1 e^{b_1 R} \quad ; \quad h(R) = \lambda_2 e^{b_2 R}, \tag{59}$$

for the metric perturbations we get

$$\phi = -\frac{m\left(\lambda_2 ra e^{b_2 ra}+2\right)}{6ra}, \tag{60}$$

$$\psi = -\frac{m\left(\lambda_1 ra e^{\mathrm{b1}ra}+2\right)}{2ra}, \tag{61}$$

$$\omega = 0, \tag{62}$$

$$\chi = \frac{\lambda_2 b_2^2 mr^2 a^2 \mathrm{Ei}(b_2 ra) - \lambda_2 m e^{b_2 ra}(b_2 ra - 1)}{2b_2^2 a^2} - \frac{2mr}{a}, \tag{63}$$

where $Ei(z)$ is the exponential integral function defined as

$$Ei(z) = -\int_{-z}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t} dt. \tag{64}$$

The corresponding Newtonian potentials are

$$\Psi_B = -\frac{m}{ar} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1 m e^{b_1 ra}, \tag{65}$$

$$\Phi_B = -\frac{m}{ar} + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_2 m \mathrm{Ei}(b_2 ra). \tag{66}$$

Note that in the above expressions we are only giving the leading order terms in the region $m \ll r \ll l$, assuming $a = e^{t/l}$.

X. LOGARITHMIC MODIFICATIONS

For the case of logarithmic modifications of the SDS metric of the type

$$f(R) = \lambda_1 \log R/b_1 \quad ; \quad h(R) = \lambda_2 \log R/b_2, \tag{67}$$

the cosmological perturbations are

$$\phi = -\frac{m\left[\lambda_2 ra \log\left(\frac{ra}{b_2}\right)+2\right]}{6ra}, \tag{68}$$

$$\psi = -\frac{m\left[\lambda_1 ra \log\left(\frac{ra}{b_1}\right)+2\right]}{2ra}, \tag{69}$$

$$\omega = 0, \tag{70}$$

$$\chi = \frac{mr\lambda_2 r\left\{2\left[\log\left(\frac{ra}{b_2}\right)\right]^2 - 2\log\left(\frac{ra}{b_2}\right)+1\right\}}{8} - \frac{2mr}{a}. \tag{71}$$

and the corresponding Newtonian potentials are

$$\Psi_B = -\frac{m}{ar} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1 m \log(ra), \tag{72}$$

$$\Phi_B = -\frac{m}{ar} + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_2 m \left[\log(ra)\right]^2. \tag{73}$$

This kind of modification of the SDS metric is similar to the one which can explain the flatness of galaxy rotational curves, and the Newtonian potentials could be used to make study other cosmological effects of these metrics.

XI. THE TURN AROUND RADIUS IN GENERALIZED SSS METRIC

We have derived a gauge invariant definition of the turn around radius and verified that it works for the SDS metric. Let's now check that it can also be applied to the SSS metrics we considered as possible modifications of the SDS metric. In the case of SSS logarithmic modifications of the SDS metric the turn around radius computed in static coordinates gives

$$R_{TA} = \sqrt[3]{\frac{ml^2}{2}}\left(1+\sqrt{1+\frac{\lambda_1^3 ml^2}{54}}\right)^{1/3} - \lambda_1 \sqrt[3]{\frac{2m^2 l^4}{108}}\left(1+\sqrt{1+\frac{\lambda_1^3 l^2 m}{54}}\right)^{-1/3}. \tag{74}$$

We can also compute it using the Newtonian potential obtained in the in eq.(72), and after substituting it in eq.(43) we obtain the following expression for the comoving radius:

$$r_{TA} = e^{-t/l}\sqrt[3]{\frac{ml^2}{2}}\left(1+\sqrt{1+\frac{\lambda_1^3 ml^2}{54}}\right)^{1/3} - e^{-t/l}\lambda_1 \sqrt[3]{\frac{2m^2 l^4}{108}}\left(1+\sqrt{1+\frac{\lambda_1^3 l^2 m}{54}}\right)^{-1/3}. \tag{75}$$

As in the case of the SDS metric we can immediately verify that the two results are consistent, i.e. $R_{TA} = a\, r_{TA}$, confirming that the gauge invariant definition we have given in eq.(43) is valid for any SSS metric. A similar result can be obtained the other classes of generalized SSS metric we have considered.

XII. CONCLUSIONS

We have studied the SDS metric within the framework of cosmological perturbation theory and we have used gauge transformations to obtain the metric in the Newtonian gauge, verifying that it indeed has the form expected in the weak field limit. Based on this general approach we have given the gauge invariant definition of the turn around radius, and verified in the case of the SDS metric that it gives the same result obtained in static coordinates.

After checking that the method used to obtain the SDS metric in the Newton gauge produces the correct result we have then applied it to compute the Newtonian potentials for different classes of static spherically symmetric metrics obtained by modifying the SDS metric. These potentials can be used to test these modified SSS metrics using physical observables which are more conveniently computed in the framework of cosmological perturbation theory. Once the SSS metrics in agreement with observational data have been identified by using both their static coordinates and cosmological perturbations form, it will be possible to search for the modified gravity theories they are solutions of. The advantage of this approach is that it is independent of the of the modified gravity theory and allows to narrow the search of modified gravity theories to the ones which admit as solutions the SSS metric compatible with observational data.

[1] K. Schleich and D. M. Witt, J. Math. Phys. **51**, 112502 (2010), arXiv:0908.4110.

[2] V. F. Mukhanov, H. A. Feldman, and R. H. Brandenberger, Phys. Rept. **215**, 203 (1992).

[3] T. Biswas and A. Notari, JCAP **0806**, 021 (2008), arXiv:astro-ph/0702555.

[4] G. Lemaitre, Gen. Rel. Grav. **29**, 641 (1997), [Annales Soc. Sci. Brux. Ser. I Sci. Math. Astron. Phys.A53,51(1933)].

[5] S. Bhattacharya, K. F. Dialektopoulos, A. E. Romano, C. Skordis, and T. N. Tomaras, (2016), arXiv:1611.05055.

[6] J. M. Bardeen, Phys. Rev. **D22**, 1882 (1980).

[7] G. C. McVittie, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **93**, 325 (1933).

[8] C. J. Gao, Class. Quant. Grav. **21**, 4805 (2004), arXiv:gr-qc/0411033.

[9] N. Kaloper, M. Kleban, and D. Martin, Phys. Rev. **D81**, 104044 (2010), arXiv:1003.4777.

[10] V. Pavlidou and T. N. Tomaras, JCAP **1409**, 020 (2014), arXiv:1310.1920.

[11] D. Tanoglidis, V. Pavlidou, and T. Tomaras, JCAP **1512**, 060 (2015), arXiv:1412.6671.

[12] S. Bhattacharya, K. F. Dialektopoulos, A. E. Romano, and T. N. Tomaras, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 181104 (2015), arXiv:1505.02375.

[13] S. Bhattacharya, K. F. Dialektopoulos, and T. N. Tomaras, JCAP **1605**, 036 (2016), arXiv:1512.08856.

[14] V. Pavlidou, N. Tetradis, and T. N. Tomaras, JCAP **1405**, 017 (2014), arXiv:1401.3742.

[15] V. Faraoni, Phys. Dark Univ. **11**, 11 (2016), arXiv:1508.00475.